What Is It Worth?

ELAINE F. EDWARDS DEATS DURST & OWEN, P.L.L.C. 1204 SAN ANTONIO, SUITE 203 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

What is Your Answer?

2

 What is a reasonable amount for the parties to agree on to settle this dispute without litigation?

•Answer: \$

Question #1: Breach of Employment Agreement

ω

- is going to take over the marketing and sales forces (this is a 20-employee company with 8 people employed in the sales and marketing departments who now would answer to Dave). Pete objects and says that this is a material diminution of his job duties and thus triggers an obligation to pay says that the Board is not happy, that sales and profits are not growing sufficiently, and that Pete should focus on more on finances and that Dave Two software engineers decide to start a company to market their new software. As the company grows, they have lawyers prepare Employment finance, and investor relations. After about a year, Dave goes to Pete and charge of product development and Pete is in charge of marketing, sales, Contracts and Dave becomes CEO and Pete becomes President. Dave is in him one year of severance pay. The contract provision at issue says:
- benefits associated with that position. Should Executive's title, pay or duties be materially diminished without Executive's consent, such Executive [Pete] shall be employed as President with the duties and diminution shall be considered a "Resignation with Good Reason" and Executive shall be entitled to receive one (1) year of severance pay

Damages for Question 1: \$100,000 "maximum"

4

Dave and the Company state that Pete's pay did not job duties were not materially diminished; and that for \$100,000, representing one year's severance pay. it only intended for this change to last one year while diminish; that his job title did not change; that his new investors were lined up. Pete sues the company

What is Your Answer?

--- (5)

 What is a reasonable amount for the parties to agree on to settle this dispute without litigation?

•Answer: \$

Answers to #1: Breach of Contract

- 6)

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$59,062
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$100K to \$25K

 Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: \$47,222 Defendant-Side Range: \$80K to \$10K

Question #2: Retaliation/Discrimination

--- ((7))

people who complain do not stay around here very long." On March 15, plaintiff is fired for having a minor backing-up accident that dented her side view mirror (there were only 2 students on the bus at the time and The school district says that after a fatal bus accident last December, when a student was struck and killed (the plaintiff was not involved in that accident and that driver was terminated), that it has a new "zero tolerance" Hispanic bus drivers got fewer opportunities to earn overtime and that her supervisor (Anglo) did not treat her with respect. After she filed her Plaintiff is Hispanic and is employed as a school bus driver for a school district. On February 15, plaintiff complained to Human Resources that the show the public that is has a "Zero Tolerance Policy" and is super-vigilant she was fired in retaliation for making her complaint and that many bus grievance, the Director of Human Resources told her, "Look around you, about child safety. drivers have had similar minor accidents in the past and had not been fired. neither even knew that there had been an accident). The plaintiff says that policy and will fire a bus driver for any accident no matter how small to

Damages for Question #2

((8))

At the time of the settlement discussions, plaintiff are validly supported by time records (plaintiff is thus seeking a total of \$110,000). attorney's fees of \$10,000 which you should assume seeking \$50,000 in compensatory damages (she is has actual lost back pay of \$50,000 and is also termination and is seeing a psychologist) and taking anxiety medication for the first time after the

Retaliation/Discrimination Answers to #2:

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$48,500
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 80K to \$20K

 Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: \$46,764 Defendant-Side Range: \$85K to \$15K

#3: Gender Stereotyping (I)

(10)

- Plaintiff is a lesbian and is employed as a sales person for a medical sales people who was retained is a gay male. But, the plaintiff says that he is very masculine in his appearance. Plaintiff claims that she was fired for "gender stereotyping" and for not comporting with the supervisor's ideas of what is "feminine" and for what her supervisor figures show that she dropped in the rankings from "number two" out of eight sales people to the fifth ranked sales person. One of the in her appearance. On January 15, plaintiff got a new supervisor who, she says, told her "I do not like homosexuals of either gender." imagines "what customers want to see in a sales rep." This supervisor terminates her in March, after quarterly sales supply company. Plaintiff says that she is "very butch" or masculine
- This termination does not occur in a locale that protects workers based upon sexual orientation (like a city ordinance).

Damages for Question #3

- (11)

At the time of the settlement discussions, plaintiff thus seeking a total of \$135,000). are validly supported by time records (Plaintiff is attorney's fees of \$10,000 which you should assume seeking \$50,000 in compensatory damages (she is has actual lost back pay of \$75,000 and is also termination and is seeing a psychologist) and taking anxiety medication for the first time after the

Answers to #3: Gender Stereotyping (1)

(12)

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$39,218
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 90K to \$10K

 Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: \$32,222 Defendant-Side Range: \$90K to \$10K

Question #4: Gender Stereotyping (II)

• Same facts as #3, but the plaintiff has obtained a "cause finding" from the E.E.O.C. ?

#4: Answers to Gender Stereotyping (II): Cause

(14)

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$57,031
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 95K to \$15K
- Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: **\$53,055**
- Defendant-Side Range: \$100K to \$10K

Both sides agree that the "cause finding" increases the value about \$20, 000 (\$19-\$21K)

Question #5: Religious Discrimination

- ((15))

Plaintiff is a sales person at a large computer company and is asks the plaintiff to attend a service at their church to "see supervisor at a large computer company is a member of a a member of an evangelical Christian church. The plaintiff's posts the plaintiff's job and the supervisor recommends a particular sale." The plaintiff claims that his results were subjective reasons such as "not doing enough to close a attend, the supervisor asks the plaintiff several more times. how at home it makes you feel." When the plaintiff does not candidate from his church who gets hired. comparable to many other sales people. The Company then worships now," the plaintiff claims that he began being different evangelical Christian church. Plaintiff's supervisor treated differently, written up and then terminated for purely When the plaintiff says that "he is happy with where he

#5: Religious Discrim: Damages

- ((16))

assume and validly supported by time records is taking anxiety medication for the first time after At the time of the settlement discussions, plaintiff attorney's fees of \$10,000 which you should seeking \$50,000 in compensatory damages (he has actual lost back pay of \$75,000 and is also the termination and is seeing a psychologist) and (plaintiff is thus seeking a total of \$135,000)

#5: Religious Discrim: Answers

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$55,937
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 100K to \$15K
- Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: **\$60,588**
- Defendant-Side Range: \$135K to \$20K

#6: Governmental Whistleblower

(18))

pretextual and that many employees violated these rules (but it is not contested that plaintiff did violate them this time). But, the administrator who made the decision to fire plaintiff can establish that he did not know related to school cafeteria purchasing. On March 2, the plaintiff was fired. Plaintiff was fired for not wearing a hair net and failure to wash her hands after returning from the restroom. Plaintiff says these reasons were appearing on the school's menu much more often. Plaintiff made this report in good faith and it did turn out to be true. On February 15, the school district employees received a kick-back from the manufacturer of the fish sticks that, previously, had been served only on Fridays, but now were Plaintiff works in the Food Services Department of a large Texas school that the report was made by the plaintiff at the time he made the decision district. On January 15, plaintiff made a report to the F.B.I. that some F.B.I. served a subpoena on the school district for all financial records did not try and find out who made the report. he was told that the FBI report was made anonymously) and says that he

#6: Whistleblower: Same Damage Model

- ((19))

At the time of the settlement discussions, plaintiff assume and validly supported by time records and attorney's fees of \$10,000 which you should after the termination and is seeing a psychologist) seeking \$50,000 in compensatory damages has actual lost back pay of \$75,000 and is also (plaintiff is thus seeking a total of \$135,000) (she is taking anxiety medication for the first time

#6: Whistleblower Answers

(20)

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$38,906
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 100K to \$0K
- Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: **\$26,911**
- Defendant-Side Range: \$100K to \$2,500

Disc. Rule 3.07: Trial Publicity The General Rule (21)

(a) In the course of representing a client, a lawyer shall such a statement. prejudicing an adjudicatory proceeding. A lawyer by means of public communication if the lawyer shall not counsel or assist another person to make have a substantial likelihood of materially knows or reasonably should know that it will reasonable person would expect to be disseminated not make an extrajudicial statement that a

Disc. Rule 3.07: Trial Publicity The Exceptions

22

- (c) A lawyer ordinarily will not violate paragraph (a) by in that paragraph when the lawyer merely states: making an extrajudicial statement of the type referred to
- (1) the general nature of the claim or defense;
- (2) the information contained in a public record;
- (3) that an investigation of the matter is in progress, offense, claim or defense involved; including the general scope of the investigation, the
- (4) except when prohibited by law, the identity of the persons involved in the matter;
- (5) the scheduling or result of any step in litigation;
- (6) a request for assistance in obtaining evidence, and information necessary thereto; . . .

#7: Free Speech Retaliation

(23)

of the local newspaper urging voters to vote against the bonds because "the district does not spend its money efficiently as it is." One of the examples the teacher gives is that "there are too many teachers here that don't know what they are doing" and are only still teaching because all of the so can the other teachers. Several teachers have told the principal that they supervisors are "dumb, dumb, dumb." Plaintiff is fired at the very next semester break. The school district alleges that even if the letter was Plaintiff is a high school history teacher for a large Texas public school attacked not only the administration but also the other teachers she would will not serve on the Homecoming or Graduation Committees with the teacher alleges that she can still perform her duties "in the classroom" and adversely affected discipline and morale, and fostered disharmony." The protected speech, it could still fire the teacher because the teacher's speech district. Right before a bond election, plaintiff writes a letter to the editor teacher because her speech was "disruptive in that it hindered efficient operations, have to interact with every day. The district claims that she was fired

Elements of a Free Speech Claim

(24)

- (1) The speech at issue involved matters of public concern;
- (2) The spoke as a citizen and not as an employee: Stated another way "Was the Speech part of the Employee's official duties?
- (3) Plaintiffs' interest in the speech outweighs the government's interest in the efficient provision of public services;
- (4) Plaintiff suffered an adverse employment action;
- (5) The speech precipitated the adverse employment action (causation)
- See, Nixon v. City of Houston, 511 F.3d 494, 497 (5th Cir. 2007); Alexander v. Eeds, 392 F.3d 138, 142 (5th Cir. 2004).

Element #3: "Pickering Balance"

(25)

Smith v. Coll. of the Mainland, 2014 WL 5500704, at *3 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 30, 2014) Pickering test requires the Court "to arrive at a balance superiors or harmony among coworkers, ha[d] a interfere[d] with the regular operation of the enterprise" which personal loyalty and confidence are necessary, or whether [a plaintiff's] statements impaired "discipline by efficiency of the public services it performs through its commenting upon matters of public concern and the impede[d] the performance of the speaker's duties or employees." In doing so, the Court must consider interest of the State, as an employer, in promoting the between the interests of the [employee], as a citizen, in detrimental impact on close working relationships for

#7: Free Speech: Answers

(26))

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$31,875
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 152K to \$10K
- Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: **\$38,529**
- Defendant-Side Range: \$100K to \$15K

#8: Sexual Harassment (I)

Plaintiff works for a national soft drink company. advances to sleep with her and as a result has been she otherwise consistently earned \$20,000 of am glad you are back because I could never get you supervisor. This male supervisor tells the plaintiff, "I overtime a year). out of my mind." The plaintiff says she refused his Plaintiff is a female and was originally hired to work denied the opportunity to earn overtime (she says transferred back to Dallas to work for her first in Dallas, then was transferred to Austin, and is now (27)

#8: Sexual Harassment: Damages

(28))

At the time of the settlement discussions, plaintiff thus seeking a total of \$160,000). are validly supported by time records (plaintiff is attorney's fees of \$10,000 which you should assume seeking \$75,000 in compensatory damages (she is has actual lost back pay of \$75,000 and is also termination and is seeing a psychologist) and taking anxiety medication for the first time after the

#8: Sexual Harassment: Answers

(29)

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$70,625
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 135K to \$10K
- Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: **\$63,823**
- Defendant-Side Range: \$150K to \$10K

#9: Sexual Harassment (II)

• Same facts as in #8, but the plaintiff for the supervisor during her first stint and the supervisor had a consensual affair when she was previously working in Dallas. --- ((30)) ---

#9: Sexual Harassment (II): Answers

- Average for Employee/Plaintiff lawyers: \$49,687
- Plaintiff-Side Range: \$ 135K to \$10K
- Average for Employer/Defendant lawyers: \$47,794
- Defendant-Side Range: \$150K to \$5K

The Most Important Question We All Face

32

Q: "Honey, do these pants make me look fat?"

Sissela Bok, "Lying: Moral Choice in Public and Private Life" (1978) (33)

"Anyone who agrees to the rules cannot complain of football they accept a degree of violence" deception allowed by the rules, just as in instance, players accept the degree of unfairness when deception is used, so long as the rules permitted it. In a game of poker, for

Disc. Rule 4.01: Truthfulness in Statements to Uthers

- In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not knowingly:
- (a) make a false statement of material fact or **law** to a third person; or
- (b) fail to disclose a material fact to a third person assisting a fraudulent act perpetrated by a client. lawyer a party to a criminal act or knowingly when disclosure is necessary to avoid making the

Model ABA Rule: §4.1: Truthfulness in Statements to Others (35)

- In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not knowingly:
- (a) make a false statement of material fact or law to a third person; or
- (b) fail to disclose a material fact to a third person when disclosure is necessary to avoid assisting a criminal or fraudulent act by a client, unless disclosure is prohibited by Rule 1.6.

False Statements of Fact

"My client won't take less than \$200,000." In fact, the client has authorized the lawyer to accept half that amount.

(36))

- "If you don't lower your price, my client will find a new supplier." The client has told the lawyer that no one else can supply the particular product.
- "We have documentary proof of the claim." None exists.
- "We have an eyewitness that heard the sexual harassment." None exists.
- "That benefit would cost the company \$200 per employee." In fact, the company lawyer in a labor negotiation knows it will cost only \$20.
- Based upon examples from Stephen Gillers, *Regulation of Lawyers* at 470 (9th ed. 2012)

False Statements of Fact: "Defendant's Policy Limits are \$100,000"

- We decline to require attorneys to burden unnecessarily the courts and litigation process with discovery to verify the truthfulness of material representations made by accurate and trustworthy and should foster the reliance representations is an integral component of the fair and efficient administration of justice. The law should opposing counsel. The reliability of lawyers' upon such statements by others. promote lawyers' care in making statements that are
- We therefore reject the assertion of [defendant's lawyers] that [plaintiff's] attorney was, as matter of law, not entitled to rely upon their representations
- Fire Insurance Exchange v. Bell, 643 N.E.2d 310 (Ind. 1994)

False Statements of Law v. Legal Opinions

- ((38))

- Lawyer to Lawyer Settlement Phone Call:
- Plaintiff's lawyer: "I don't know of any reason how we could pierce the corporate veil, do you?"
- Defendants' Lawyer: "There isn't anything. PRG and
- Entolo are totally separate [entities]"
- Holding: Plaintiff has created a fact-question that this statement violates §4.1 and Duty of Candor.
- Hoyt Properties., Inc. v. Production Resource Group, L.L.C. (Minn. 2007).

When is a Fact or Legal-Statement Material?

- ((39))

While the term "material" is not defined in Rule 4.1 Ausherman v. Bank of America Corp., 212 F.Supp. 2d 435 (D. Md. 2002) journals engage in some hand-wringing about the is material to a particular negotiation seldom is a difficult task to determine whether a fact or its commentary, it is not a difficult concept to return, gained by the settlement. While the legal reasonably may be viewed as important to a fair comprehend. A fact is material to a negotiation if it vagueness of this aspect of Rule 4.1, in reality, it understanding of what is being given up and, in

(40)

- A law firm and a corporate client reach an hourly fee answering discovery. Is this term enforceable? agreement where the lawyer will charge \$350 an hour to answer discovery and \$450 an hour to avoid
- A) As long as it is in writing and client has the sophistication to consent

(41)

- A law firm and a corporate client reach an hourly fee answering discovery. Is this term enforceable? agreement where the lawyer will charge \$350 an hour to answer discovery and \$450 an hour to avoid
- A) As long as it is in writing and client has the sophistication to consent
- B) It does not matter, because a lawyer can always elongate the amount of time it takes to not-answerdiscovery and collect a higher fee

(42)

- A law firm and a corporate client reach an hourly fee answering discovery. Is this term enforceable? agreement where the lawyer will charge \$350 an hour to answer discovery and \$450 an hour to avoid
- A) As long as it is in writing and client has the sophistication to consent
- B) It does not matter, because a lawyer can always elongate the amount of time it takes to not-answerdiscovery and collect a higher fee
- C) It is unethical and an affront to the justice system

((43))

- A law firm and a corporate client reach an hourly fee answer discovery and \$450 an hour to avoid answering agreement where the lawyer will charge \$350 an hour to discovery. Is this term enforceable?
- A) As long as it is in writing and client has the sophistication to consent
- B) It does not matter, because a lawyer can always elongate the amount of time it takes to not-answerdiscovery and collect a higher fee
- C) It is unethical and an affront to the justice system
- D) Both B & C are correct.